

work SMART INSTITUTE

A program of the Washington Healthcare Forum
Operated by OneHealthPort

Best Practice Recommendation for

*Electronic Processing of Corrections to
Professional Claims (4010A1 version of the
HIPAA 837 and 835 transactions)*

**For use with ANSI ASC X12N
Health Care Claim Institutional,
Health Care Claim Payment/Advice
Implementation Guides**

Version	
Issue Date	Explanation
01-06-2010	Initial Release

Table of Contents

Goal: 3

Summary of Recommendation: 3

Definition and Clarifications: 4

Best Practice Recommendations for Submitting and Processing:6

Best Practice Recommendations for Operational Processes: 6

Processing Charges for Dates of Service Not Previously Billed: 6

Processing Provider Initiated Corrections to Previously Billed Services: 6

Processing Provider-Identified Discrepancies between 837 and 835 Information: 8

Best Practice Recommendation
for Electronic Processing of Corrections to Professional Claims
using the 4010A1 version of the HIPAA 837 and 835 transactions

Topic: Electronically Submitting and Processing Professional Claims

Note: If the provider organization does not have the capability to electronically submit a corrected claim, they should refer to the Admin Simp Guideline for Submitting Corrected Claims on Paper, which can also be found on the WorkSMART web site.

Goal: Expedite the processing of corrected claims and reduce the number of situations when corrected claims are denied as duplicates.

Summary: This Best Practice Recommendation addresses the following operational processes:

- a) Processing charges for dates of service not previously billed
- b) Processing provider-initiated corrections to previously billed services, e.g. data entry errors or omissions by providers
- c) Processing provider-identified discrepancies between 837 information and 835 information. Examples include but are not limited to
 - A unit count of 30 was submitted on the 837, but a unit count of 3 was processed and reported on the 835
 - 5 service lines were submitted on the 837, but only 4 service lines were processed and reported on the 835

Applicability: The table located at <http://www.onehealthport.com/worksmart/wsadoptionmatrix.php> identifies participating health plans and provider organizations. i.e. those that have endorsed and implemented these best practices.

All providers and health plans are encouraged to follow these Recommended Best Practices.

How this document is organized

The information in this document is presented as follows:

First, the following terms will be defined

- Claims and Claim Lines
- Initial Claim / Initial Claim Resubmission
- Replacement Claim
- Voided Claim

Second, best practice recommendations for submitting and processing corrected claims will be outlined

Third, the best practice recommendation for each of the following operational processes will be outlined

- Processing charges for dates of service not previously billed
- Processing provider-initiated corrections to previously billed services, e.g. data entry errors or omissions by providers
- Processing provider-identified discrepancies between 837 information and 835 information

Fourth, an addendum will outline how health plans will handle refund checks generated by and received from providers.

I. Definitions and Clarifications

a) Claims and Claim Lines

Claims consist of one or more claim lines, where each claim line represents a billed service. Professional claims can be one of two types:

- One or more services on a specified date, e.g. Physician Office Visit claim.
- One or more recurring services, on one or more dates, within a specified date range, e.g. Physical Therapy claim. This is typically referred to as Series Billing.

b) Initial Claim / Initial Claim Resubmission

In most cases, a provider will need to submit an 837 to the health plan only once. If this Initial Claim is not received by the health plan, the provider will need to resubmit it. (This situation is typically referred to as Resubmission or Rebilling.) The resubmitted claim should look exactly the same as the initial submission. It should contain no more and no less information.

An Initial Claim is the original claim submitted by the provider. An Initial Claim Resubmission is an exact replication of that original claim. In both cases, the Claim Frequency Type Code field will be equal to 1. Since these claims will not have been loaded into the health plan's adjudication system, there will not be a health plan generated internal control number for them.

Some providers resubmit a claim to the health plan as a technique for finding out the status of that claim. This technique creates work for provider and health plan. A much easier way for providers to get status information on a claim is to access the health plan's web site or to use the 276/277 Claim Status transaction.

c) Replacement Claim

A Replacement Claim is a change to an Initial Claim that has been processed by the health plan's adjudication system. The Claim Frequency Type Code field will be equal to 7 and there will be a health plan generated internal control number for that Initial Claim.

Information on a Replacement Claim will differ from the information contained in the respective Initial Claim in one of the following four ways:

- 1) The information contained within one or more of the service lines will be different
- 2) Additional services lines will be added that are for the same date of service as the other service lines OR that fall with the date range as previously submitted (Series Billing).
- 3) Previously submitted services lines will be omitted
- 4) The information on the Replacement Claim may not be different than the Initial Claim, but the provider wants to draw the health plan's attention to a discrepancy between the information contained on the Initial Claim and the information reported back to the provider on the 835. Examples include but are not limited to:
 - A unit count of 30 was submitted on the 837, but a unit count of 3 was processed and reported on the 835
 - 5 service lines were submitted on the 837, but only 4 service lines were processed and reported on the 835

There are cases when an Initial Claim is split by the health plan as part of the adjudication process. In these cases, each split claim will be assigned a different internal control number. When creating a Replacement Claim for any Initial Claim, the provider does not need to be concerned about if or how the claim was split. A Replacement Claim should be created as if the Initial Claim was not split by the health plan and any one of the health plan assigned internal control numbers can be used.

d) Voided Claim

A Voided Claim indicates that the service should never have been billed and neither an Initial Claim Resubmission nor a Replacement Claim will be sent. A Voided Claim is the instruction to the health plan to deactivate, i.e. "back out of the system", an Initial Claim that has been processed by the health plan's adjudication system. The Claim Frequency Type Code field will be equal to 8 and there will be a health plan generated internal control number for that Initial Claim.

A Voided Claim will contain all of the claim lines that were previously submitted in or as an Initial Claim, exactly as they appeared on the Initial Claim.

II. Best Practice Recommendations for Submitting and Processing Corrected Claims

- A. Providers will use corrected claims to address errors in the billing or processing of a claim. For example, a corrected claim **SHOULD** be used if a service was mistakenly included on the initial claim, or if the units of service were incorrect, or if it was coded incorrectly, etc.
- B. A corrected claim may be considered a "clean claim" if the health plan has the documentation that they need to process the claim.
- C. The timeframes for processing corrected claims will follow state guidelines for claims processing.

III. Best Practice Recommendations for Operational Processes

The chart at the end of this document contains a synopsis of the best practice recommendations for the following three operational processes.

A. Processing Charges for Dates of Service Not Previously Billed

Providers may want to bill the health plan for services that were left off of a previously submitted claim. These services must be for a date of service ***not submitted*** on a previous claim **OR** for a date of service that is outside of the date range submitted on a previous claim (Series Billing).

1. **Providers** will create an Initial Claim as discussed in Definition #I.b. above.
 - a) The Claim Frequency Type Code field (CLM05-3) = 1.
2. The claim will **ONLY** contain previously unbilled services.
3. **Health Plans** will handle this claim as an Initial Claim.

B. Processing Provider-Initiated Corrections to Previously Billed Services, e.g. data entry errors or omissions by providers

Providers may want to correct information that was previously ***submitted*** on a claim, e.g. to correct data entry errors or omissions. Changes can include:

- Modifying information contained within one or more of the service lines that were previously submitted
- Removing previously submitted service lines

1. These types of corrections will be handled as Replacement Claims.
2. **Providers** will create a Replacement Claim as discussed in Definition #I.c. above.
 - a) The Claim Frequency Type Code field (CLM05–3) will be set to 7.
 - b) The Original Reference Number (ICN/DCN) segment of the 2300 loop will contain . . .
 - Reference Identification Qualifier REF 01 = F8
 - Reference Identification REF 02 = the health plan’s Internal Control Number that was assigned to the previously submitted Initial Claim
3. The Notes field will be used to indicate why this claim is being submitted as a Replacement Claim.
 - a) Use the Claim Note segment of Loop 2300
 - Set Note Reference Code NTE01 = ‘ADD’
 - For Description NTE02 . . .
 - ◆ Update NTE02 to include - A very brief description of the type of correction that was made, e.g. ‘charges removed’, ‘qty changed’, ‘proc. code changed’, ‘prev. line omitted’ etc. If appropriate and if there is sufficient room in the notes field, indicate the respective line #(s).
 - b) Use the Claim Note segment of Loop 2400, if more line specific information is useful
 - Set Note Reference Code NTE01 = ‘ADD’
 - For Description NTE02 . . .
 - ◆ Update NTE02 to include - A very brief description of the type of correction that was made, e.g. ‘charges removed’, ‘qty changed’, ‘proc. code changed’, ‘prev. line omitted’ etc.
4. **Health Plans** will use the Claim Frequency Type Code field (CLM05–3), Original Reference Number segment and the appropriate Note field(s) to recognize the Replacement Claim and will process the correction.

Once the health plan has processed the corrected claim, these changes will be reflected on the 835. Each corrected claim will result in the following two entries on the 835, excluding a possible PLB segment. (Note: there may be more than two entries when health plans split a claim.)

- Reversal of the Initial Claim will be communicated by setting CLP02 = 22 (reversal of previous payment)
- Processing of the Corrected Claim communicated by setting CLP02 = 1,2,3,19,20,21 according to how the claim is processed

C. Processing Provider-Identified Discrepancies between 837 Information and 835 Information

Providers may want to notify the health plan when there is a difference between claim information submitted on an Initial Claim and what was reported on the 835. Examples include but are not limited to:

- A unit count of 30 was submitted on the 837, but a unit count of 3 was processed and reported on the 835
- 5 service lines were submitted on the 837, but only 4 service lines were processed and reported on the 835

These types of claims will be referred to as Discrepancy Claims.

1. Reporting of discrepancies will be handled as Replacement Claims.
2. **Providers** will create a Replacement Claim as discussed in Definition #I.c. above.
 - a) The Claim Frequency Type Code field (CLM05-3) will be set to 7.
 - b) The Original Reference Number (ICN/DCN) segment of the 2300 loop will contain . . .
 - Reference Identification Qualifier REF 01 = F8
 - Reference Identification REF 02 = the health plan's Internal Control Number that was assigned to the previously submitted Initial Claim
3. The Notes field will be used to indicate why this claim is being submitted as a Replacement Claim.
 - a) Use the Claim Note segment of Loop 2300
 - Set Note Reference Code NTE01 = 'ADD'
 - For Description NTE02 . . .
 - ◆ Update NTE02 to include - The Claim Line #(s) that were different than what was reported on the 835 and a very brief description of the discrepancy.
 - b) Use the Claim Note segment of Loop 2400, if more line specific information is useful.
 - Set Note Reference Code NTE01 = 'ADD'
 - For Description NTE02 . . .
 - ◆ Update NTE02 to include - A very brief description of the discrepancy.
4. **Health Plans** will use the Claim Frequency Type Code field (CLM05-3), Original Reference Number segment (which contains the health plan's original Internal Control Number), and the appropriate Note field(s) to recognize the Replacement Claim and will process the discrepancy.

Once the health plan has processed the corrected claim, these changes will be reflected on the 835. Each corrected claim will result in the following two entries

on the 835, excluding a possible PLB segment. (Note; there may be more than two entries when health plans splits a claim.)

- Reversal of the Initial Claim will be communicated by setting CLP02 = 22 (reversal of previous payment)
- Processing of the Corrected Claim communicated by setting CLP02 = 1,2,3,19,20,21 according to how the claim is processed

The chart provides a synopsis of the best practice recommendations for the three operational processes discussed above.

Operational Process	Type of Claim	Value of Claim Frequency Code^{*1}	Original ICN^{*2}	Note Fields (Claim Level and Line Level)^{*3}
A. Charges not Previously Billed	Initial	CLM05-3 = 1	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
B. Provider Initiated Correction	Replacement	CLM05-3 = 7	REF01=F8 REF02=ICN	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NTE01 = 'ADD' • NTE02= Brief description of the correction
C. Provider Identified Discrepancy between 837 and 835.	Replacement	CLM05-3 = 7	REF01=F8 REF02=ICN	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NTE01 = 'ADD' • NTE02= Brief description of discrepancy

^{*1} – Claim Frequency Code is contained in CLM05-3. Page 173 of the Professional Implementation Guide.

^{*2} – Assigned by health plan and stored in the ICN/DCN segment of Loop 2300. Page 229 of the Professional Implementation Guides.

^{*3} – Professional Claims have a Claim Level Note in Loop 2300 of the 837 and a Line Level Note field in Loop 2400 of the 837. The instructions for completing the claim level and line level notes are the same. Page 246 (Claim Note) and page 488 (Line Note) of the Professional Implementation Guide.

IV. Addendum – Guideline for Health Plans

There are a few cases when it is appropriate for a provider to generate a refund check and send it to the health plan. In these cases, if the health plan agrees with the amount of the refund, the health plan will electronically process the refund and report it on the 835 Remittance Advice transaction in accordance with one of the following two options.

Option A - Optimal: Do not reflect the refund on an 835

Option B – Acceptable: If the refund amount is to be reflected on the 835, the HIPAA compliant procedure is as follows

- Reverse the prior claim with a CLP 22
- Process a new claim with a CLP 1 or 3
- Reflect the refund amount with a PLB with a 72